Conducting a Literature Survey

Prof. Pranay Kumar Saha

Contents

1	Intr	Introduction to Literature Survey							
	1.1	What is a Literature Survey?							
	1.2	Why is a Literature Survey Important?							
	1.3	Examples in Computer Science							
2	Steps to Conduct a Literature Survey								
	2.1	Step 1: Clarify the Research Problem							
	2.2	Step 2: Identify Reliable Sources							
	2.3	Step 3: Formulate Keywords and Search Strategies							
	2.4	Step 4: Analyze and Categorize Sources							
	2.5	Step 5: Summarize and Evaluate							
3	Tools and Techniques								
	3.1	Academic Search Engines							
	3.2	Reference Management Tools							
	3.3	Visualization Tools							
4	Eva	Evaluating Quality and Credibility of Sources							
	4.1	Peer-Reviewed vs. Non-Peer-Reviewed							
	4.2	Indicators of Quality							
	4.3	Avoiding Predatory Journals							
	4.4	Example Activity							
5	Structuring the Literature Survey								
	5.1	Common Structures							
	5.2	Writing Tips							
	5.3	Visualization Techniques							
6	Cas	Case Study: Literature Survey on a Sample Problem							
	6.1	Problem: Improving Recommendation Systems with Machine Learning .							
	~								

1 Introduction to Literature Survey

1.1 What is a Literature Survey?

A literature survey is a comprehensive review of existing research on a specific topic. It involves systematically searching, analyzing, and synthesizing relevant works to understand the current state of knowledge and identify research gaps.

1.2 Why is a Literature Survey Important?

- Foundation for Research: Builds the theoretical base for your study.
- Avoids Duplication: Ensures your work adds something new.
- Identifies Gaps: Highlights areas that need further investigation.
- Guides Methodology: Provides insights into existing methods and tools.

1.3 Examples in Computer Science

- Researching deep learning models for medical image analysis.
- Surveying blockchain technologies for supply chain management.
- Comparing algorithms for anomaly detection in cybersecurity.

2 Steps to Conduct a Literature Survey

2.1 Step 1: Clarify the Research Problem

Define the scope and focus of your research.

• Example: For a problem like *Reducing the energy consumption of IoT devices*, focus on existing protocols and energy-efficient designs.

2.2 Step 2: Identify Reliable Sources

- Databases: IEEE Xplore, SpringerLink, ACM Digital Library, Scopus, Google Scholar.
- Other Sources: Technical blogs, patents, and whitepapers.
- Gray Literature: Theses, dissertations, and preprints.

2.3 Step 3: Formulate Keywords and Search Strategies

- Use Boolean operators:
 - AND: Combines terms (e.g., IoT AND energy efficiency).
 - **OR**: Broadens search (e.g., *IoT OR edge computing*).
 - **NOT**: Excludes terms (e.g., *IoT NOT healthcare*).

- Explore synonyms and related terms:
 - Example: Machine learning could include deep learning or neural networks.

2.4 Step 4: Analyze and Categorize Sources

- Group papers by themes:
 - Algorithms (e.g., A*, Dijkstra's).
 - Datasets (e.g., CIFAR-10, ImageNet).
 - Applications (e.g., healthcare, finance).
- Use tools like Excel, Notion, or Zotero for organizing references.

2.5 Step 5: Summarize and Evaluate

- Compare approaches and methodologies:
 - Strengths and limitations.
 - Novelty of the research.
 - Relevance to your problem.
- Create a matrix or table to visualize comparisons.

3 Tools and Techniques

3.1 Academic Search Engines

- Google Scholar: Free, simple interface.
- **IEEE Xplore**: Focused on engineering and computing.
- ACM Digital Library: Covers computer science topics extensively.

3.2 Reference Management Tools

- **Zotero**: Free and open source, integrates with browsers.
- Mendeley: Includes annotation tools.
- EndNote: Advanced but paid.

3.3 Visualization Tools

- VOSviewer: For bibliometric analysis (e.g., citation networks).
- **Gephi**: Network visualization.
- CiteSpace: Identifies trends in research.

4 Evaluating Quality and Credibility of Sources

4.1 Peer-Reviewed vs. Non-Peer-Reviewed

- Peer-reviewed: Higher credibility, validated by experts.
- Non-peer-reviewed: May lack rigor; use cautiously.

4.2 Indicators of Quality

- **H-index**: Measures productivity and citation impact of authors.
- Citation Count: Indicates influence but check for self-citations.
- Publisher Reputation: Recognized publishers like IEEE, Springer, and ACM.

4.3 Avoiding Predatory Journals

- Look for journals indexed in Scopus, Web of Science.
- Avoid journals with high acceptance rates and low impact factors.

4.4 Example Activity

Compare a well-cited IEEE paper with a low-quality blog post on the same topic.

5 Structuring the Literature Survey

5.1 Common Structures

- 1. **Thematic**: Organized by topics (e.g., cloud computing, security, performance).
- 2. **Chronological**: Follows the evolution of research (e.g., AI advancements from 2010 to 2023).
- 3. **Methodological**: Compares research methods (e.g., supervised vs. unsupervised learning).

5.2 Writing Tips

- Use a formal and concise tone.
- Avoid plagiarism by paraphrasing and citing correctly.
- Example structure for a paragraph:
 - Context: Introduce the area.
 - Details: Summarize findings.
 - Evaluation: Highlight limitations or gaps.

5.3 Visualization Techniques

- Concept Maps: Show relationships between concepts.
- Comparison Tables: Example:

Algorithm	Accuracy	Complexity	Dataset Used
Algorithm A	95%	$O(n^2)$	CIFAR-10
Algorithm B	92%	$O(n \log n)$	ImageNet

6 Case Study: Literature Survey on a Sample Problem

6.1 Problem: Improving Recommendation Systems with Machine Learning

- Identify Keywords: Recommendation systems, collaborative filtering, deep learning.
- Search for Papers: Example: Netflix dataset studies.
- Summarize Findings:
 - Existing models (e.g., collaborative filtering, matrix factorization).
 - Limitations: Cold start problem, scalability issues.
- Identify Gaps: Lack of personalized recommendations for edge cases.
- Present Findings: Use a table or concept map to summarize.

6.2 Activity

- 1. Assign a topic (e.g., AI in healthcare).
- 2. Task:
 - Identify 3-5 papers.
 - Summarize findings in a table:

Title	Author	Year	Key Findings	Gaps Identified
Example Paper 1	Author A	2022	Improves accuracy by X%	Lacks real-time testing